

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 08/470,571		<b>Applicant(s)</b> HARVEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS		<b>Art Unit</b> 2628	

**All Participants:**

(1) PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS.

(2) Thomas J. Scott, Jr.

**Date of Interview:** 28 January 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) Carl L. Benson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

80

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter-Anthony Pappas/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner stated that the administrative requirement set forth by the examiner in the Ex parte Quayle action mailed on 11/03/09 is hereby withdrawn as the examiner has independently conducted a double patenting analysis of the claims in the instant application. An examiner's amendment to place the instant application into conditions for allowance was proposed and agreed upon.